

Borders capitalism and hierarchy

Control and manipulation for a few to have more than they need.

Think about it, if it was just and right that some people have more than others then these people wouldn't need to protect their things at all and could just leave the door open so to speak, because people would know that they deserve what they have and would not take it from them. But its just not true. There is no reason why one person can have more than they need when another can be struggling to survive. So it makes sense that the people have to protect their unjustifiable wealth (and excess). So many things are put in place to make sure that the wealth stays with the wealthy from laws to hierarchical situations and of course borders. There are no other reasons for borders apart from protecting the rich or to put it another way excluding the poor. Its not even a secret that EU borders are protected so that non nationals don't have access to the EUs wealth.

Today across Europe, you can hear people saying, "We can not let 'them' in, we can not afford to. We can not remove 'them' all, we need that work force. We need a balance, a measured amount of 'them'." This is the politics of the EU and Sweden. For anyone who votes, supporting the party system, that has one answer to this problem which is to find a balance, to find the right amount of immigration, we could consider this as moderated racism. But most would never commend racism. But

Ideals for nation states,
Ideals for borders,
Ideals for cheap foreign labour,
Ideals for cheap foreign work force,
Ideals for deportation,
Ideals for policing "illegal people"
Ideals for detaining people in detention centres
Ideals for inhuman handling of people
Ideals for heavily armed enforcement of borders
Ideals for profiting from those excluded
Ideals for rich staying rich and poor staying poor by what ever means

These are ideals of MODERATED RACISM.

The moderation of immigration, the control of goods, resources, and services are provide by borders, in the interest of national capital. These borders for control of distribution of wealth are not only at the physical extremes of nation states, but everywhere. To get a job, a bank account and sometimes even a phoncecard, identifying papers are needed. There are also borders in supermarkets with budget brands and luxurious brands: this is not choice, this is division managed by the amount one can afford. People are exploited and excluded in every aspect of their lives.

Wealth of a person or peoples has to be seen in opposition to not-wealthy. For the wealthy to accumulate their wealth there has to be inequality. The inequality serves as a measure to which we can have people wealthier than others.>

If we take the 100% of everything and divide it between the people to make it simple lets say there are 2 people, if the everything is divide equally each person would have 50% each and there would be no wealthy person, but if everything is divided unequally then there can be wealthy people.

The desire to be wealthy or for one people to be wealth is a desire for inequality. and at once there is inequality there are some having more then is fair or deserved. We could also say that inequality causes the need for things to become the property of a person or people, because if everything equally distributed no one would have to need to call things "mine", but once one has property one has the need for borders and systems of exclusion.

We can justify injustices that occur on the borders of our nation states because we believe in property we believe in inequality, so when we try to tackle the inhuman treatment of people when they try to cross borders or are affected by the border system, we have to also stand against property, and to stand for equality, and this is why the no border movement are also a anti capitalist and anti hierarchical movement, because if we were not, then we are asking for something else which cannot be anything else but a moderated racist stance.

The stance we must take to not commend moderated racism is ironically considered by some as "extreme" while its should be clear for all that its the other mainstream stance that is extreme(ly racist).

We must say,
>NO BORDERS,
NO NATIONS, NO STATES, NO HIERARCHIES
NO WARS, NO ARMIES,
NO POLICE,
NO PROPERTY, NO PROFIT, NO GREED
NO EXCLUSION , NO EXPLOITATION ,

It is of course fear that prevents people from making a stand against property and hierarchy because they fear they themselves will be worse off often more excluded and more exploited. So it is for us to try and create and discover better alternatives to property and hierarchy, to demonstrate to ourselves and others what we as people are capable of and that we do not have to live in fear. When we create the no border camp this is what we want to do we want to create a space that will facilitate us as people to find out what our future could be, supporting each other so we don't have to fear any more, and so we can stand proud and say we want a better world one with NO BORDERS.